# $\beta$ -Adrenergic Blocking Agents. 19.

# 1-Phenyl-2-[[(substituted-amido)alkyl]amino]ethanols

### M. S. Large and L. H. Smith\*

Imperial Chemical Industries Limited, Pharmaceuticals Division, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, England. Received August 7, 1979

The synthesis of a series of derivatives of 1-phenyl-2-[[(substituted amido)alkyl]amino]ethanols is described. The compounds were investigated for  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor blocking properties, and many showed a surprising degree of potency and  $\beta_1$ -cardioselectivity when tested in vivo in anesthetized cats. The structure-activity relationships shown by this series of compounds are discussed and related to known  $\beta$ -adrenergic blocking agents.

Our previously described work<sup>1,2</sup> shows that aryloxy-propanolamines with an ether, 1, or thioether, 2, link in the side chain are potent cardioselective  $\beta_1$ -adrenoceptor blocking agents.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCH}_2\text{CHCHCH}_2\text{NHCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{XR} \\ \\ \text{1, X = O} \\ \text{2, X = S} \end{array}$$

This led us to postulate that the oxygen or sulfur atom present in these molecules makes an important contribution to their cardioselectivity. In an extension of this work, we have replaced the ether and thioether links with a variety of amidic moieties in both the arylethanolamine<sup>3</sup> and aryloxypropanolamine<sup>4,5</sup> series of  $\beta$ -adrenergic blocking agents to give compounds of structures 3 and 4.

4, X = NHCO, CONH, NHCONH, NHSO

In this paper we describe the structure-activity relationships of a series of arylethanolamines 4 (where X = NHCO, NHCONH, and NHSO<sub>2</sub>), and subsequent papers will describe the aryloxypropanolamine analogues.

Chemistry. The majority of the compounds listed in Tables I-IV were synthesized via methods A, B, and C illustrated in Scheme I.

Method A was the most frequently used, although method C was more convenient for the synthesis of compounds where  $R^1 = R^2 = R^3 = H$ .

Method B was used for varying the substituent R on the aryl ring. The designation D used in the tables signifies a separately described method of preparation. The amidoalkylamine precursors used in methods A and B were made by acylating an alkylenediamine with the appropriate ester, sulfonyl chloride, or isocyanate. Where the alkylenediamine was unsymmetrical, the position of acylation was determined by NMR spectroscopy. The Ex-

 $^a$  R, R, R, R2, R3, R4, and Y relate to the substituents described in Tables I–IV, and R5 is a suitable ester substituent

perimental Section describes a typical acylation procedure, and Table V lists those amidic alkylamines which have been characterized and are novel. For method C, only the diamine precursor with an unsubstituted phenyl ring was synthesized.

Pharmacology.  $\beta$ -Adrenoceptor blocking potency was estimated in vivo using a rat preparation and the previously described cat preparation.<sup>6</sup> In the procedure for the rat preparation, a control group of four rats (Alderley Park strain) was anesthetized with 60 mg/kg of pentobarbitone, intraperitoneally, and the heart rate was recorded as described in the above cat preparation. Isoproterenol (0.1  $\mu g/kg$ ) was then injected into the femoral vein and the increase in heart rate recorded. The compound under investigation was then injected subcutaneously at four different doses, 10, 1.0, 0.1, and 0.01 mg, four rats being used at each level. The rats were then anesthetized in a similar manner to the control group and 30 min later were challenged with 0.1  $\mu$ g/kg of isoproterenol and the heart rate recorded. The percentage blockade of the isoproterenol response for each dose level was calculated as follows:

From these results a dose–response curve was constructed, and from this the ED $_{50}$  values quoted in the tables were estimated. Statistical analysis of the results showed that the ED $_{50}$  transformed to a  $\log_{10}$  scale has a standard error of approximately  $\pm 0.14$  log unit (i.e., a mean error of approximately 25–30% for each experiment). The cat results given in the tables are expressed as the total dose, infused over a period of 30 min, causing a 50% inhibition of the tachycardia produced by a submaximal dose of isoproterenol (0.2  $\mu$ g/kg dosed iv). The degree (%) of blockade of the vasodepressor response at that dose level is also

<sup>(1)</sup> L. H. Smith and H. Tucker, J. Med. Chem., 20, 1653 (1977).

<sup>(2)</sup> H. Tucker and J. F. Coope, J. Med. Chem., 21, 769 (1978).

<sup>(3)</sup> L. H. Smith, U.K. Patent 1460 593.

<sup>(4)</sup> L. H. Smith, J. Appl. Chem. Biotechnol., 28, 201 (1978).

<sup>(5)</sup> L. H. Smith, U.K. Patents 1455116 and 1457876.

<sup>(6)</sup> J. D. Fitzgerald and S. R. O'Donnell, Br. J. Pharmacol., 43, 222 (1971).

<sup>(7)</sup> A. V. Kirsanov and N. A. Kirsanova, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 32, 887 (1962).

<sup>(8)</sup> C. L. Agre, O. Stoutland, and I. Helgen, J. Org. Chem., 24, 818 (1959)

			С, Н, СНОН	CH <sub>2</sub> NHCH <sub>2</sub>	CH₂NHCOR₄		meth- od of	dose, mg/kg, giving 50% inhibn of tachy- cardia	dose, μg/kg, giving 50% inhibn of tachy- cardia	% inhibn of depressor re-
no.	$R_4$	mp, °C	crystn solvent	yield, %	emp formula	anal.	prepn	in rat	in cat	sponse
5	CH <sub>3</sub>	106-108	EtOAc	31.5	$C_{12}H_{18}N_2O_2$	C, H, N	Α	0.08	238	50
6	$C_2 H_5$	91 - 92	EtOAc	13	$C_{13}H_{20}N_2O_2$	C, H, N	Α	0.07	86	46
7	$n \cdot C_3 H_7$	90-91	EtOAc	11	$C_{14}^{13}H_{22}^{13}N_{2}^{2}O_{2}^{2}$	C, H, N	D	0.45	92	0
8	$i$ - $C_3H_7$	101	EtOAc	28	$C_{14}H_{22}N_2O_2$	C, H, N	Α	0.7	93	27
9	$i$ - $C_4H_9$	163-1 <b>6</b> 5	MeCN	29	$C_{15}H_{24}N_2O_2 \cdot 0.5(COOH)_2$	C, H, N	D	0.72	311	25
10	$n$ - $\mathbf{C}_{s}\mathbf{H}_{11}$	87-88	EtO Ac	18	$C_{16}H_{26}N_2O_2$	C, H, N	Α	1.4	167	8
11	CH <sub>2</sub> OH	160-161	EtOH	25	$C_{12}H_{18}N_2O_3\cdot(COOH)_2$	C, H, N	C	0.3		
1 <b>2</b>	CH,OCH,	94-96	<b>EtOAc</b>	18	$C_{13}^{11}H_{20}^{10}N_{2}O_{3}$	C, H, N	Α	0.4	<b>227</b>	44
13	$CF_3$	186 - 187	i-PrOH	43	$C_{10}H_{10}F_{2}N_{2}O_{2}\cdot(COOH)_{2}$	C, H, N	C	0.1		
14	e-C,H,	106-108	EtOAc	22	$C_{16}^{12}H_{24}^{13}N_{2}O_{2}$ $C_{17}H_{26}N_{2}O_{2} \cdot HCl$	$C^a H$ , N	Α	0.42	12	8
15	$\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{6}^{T} \mathbf{H}_{11}^{T}$	217 - 218	i-PrOH	12	$C_{12}H_{16}N_{1}O_{1}$ ·HCl	H, b C, N	Α	0.16	240	0
16	$C_6 H_5$	122 - 124	EtO Ac	28	$C_{17}^{17}H_{20}^{26}N_{2}^{2}O_{2}^{2}\cdot0.25H_{2}O$	C, H, N	Α	10.0		
<b>17</b>	4-OH-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	186	EtOH	79	$C_{17}^{1}H_{20}^{10}N_{2}^{2}O_{3}^{2} \cdot (COOH)_{2}$	C, H, N	D	9.0	1061	10
18	$-CH_2-C_6H_5$	113-114	EtO Ac	18	$C_{18}^{17}H_{22}^{20}N_2O_2$	C, H, N	Α	0.38	31	6
19	$-CH_2^2-4-OCH_3-C_6H_4$	114-115	EtO Ac	24	$C_{19}^{19}H_{24}^{22}N_{2}O_{3}$	C, H, N	Α	0.32	37	24
<b>2</b> 0	$-CH_2-4-Cl-C_6H_4$	117 - 120	EtOAc	12	$C_{18}^{7}H_{21}^{7}C1N_{2}O_{2}\cdot 0.25H_{2}O$	C, H, N	Α	0.7	294	3
21	$-CH_2-4-NH_2-C_6H_4$	132-133	EtOH	37	$C_{18}^{16}H_{23}^{21}N_3O_2$	C, H, N	$^{\circ}$ C	2.5		
22	-CH <sub>2</sub> -4-NHCOCH <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	148-149	H,O	56	$C_{20}^{10}H_{25}^{23}N_{3}O_{3}^{2}$	C, H, N	$\mathbf{C}$	1.2		
<b>2</b> 3	$-CH_{2}^{2}-3,4-(OCH_{3})_{2}-C_{6}H_{4}$	111-112	EťO Ac	45	$C_{20}^{70}H_{26}^{73}N_{2}O_{4}^{3}$	C, H, N	C	7.0		
24	-CH,OC,H,	97-98	i-PrOH	37	$C_{18}^{20}H_{22}^{2}N_{2}O_{3} \cdot 0.5H_{2}O$	C, H, N	C	1.0		
25	$-CH_{2}^{\prime}O-4$ - $C\overline{l}-C_{6}H_{4}$	104-106	EtOAc	8	C.,H.,ClN,O, 0.5H,O	C, H, N	Ā	2.5	487	36
<b>2</b> 6	$-CH_{,}^{2}O-4-CN-\mathring{C}_{6}\mathring{H}_{4}$	62 - 63	EtOH-H <sub>2</sub> O	78	$C_{19}^{18}H_{21}^{21}N_{3}O_{3}\cdot H_{2}O$ $C_{20}^{20}H_{24}^{24}N_{2}O_{4}\cdot H_{2}^{2}O$	C, H, N	C	0.7		
27	-CH <sub>2</sub> O-4-COCH <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	124 - 125	MeCN	46	$C_{20}^{\prime}H_{24}^{\prime\prime}N_{3}^{\prime}O_{4}\cdot H_{3}^{\prime}O$	C, H, N	C	0.6		
28	-CH,O-4-CHOHCH,-C,H,	90-91	$H_2O$	71	$C_{20}^{20}H_{26}^{24}N_{2}O_{4}\cdot H_{2}^{2}O$	C, H, N	D	1.6		
29	-CH,O-2-CONH,-C,H,	143-145	MeCN	12	$C_{19}^{20}H_{23}^{26}N_{3}^{2}O_{4}^{4}$	C, H, N	$\mathbf{C}$	5.2		
30	-CH <sub>2</sub> O-2-OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	137 - 139	MeCN	10	$C_{21}^{19}H_{26}^{23}N_{2}^{3}O_{4}\cdot(COOH)_{2}$	C, H, N	Α	5.8		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> C: calcd, 69.6; found, 69.0. <sup>b</sup> H: calcd, 8.3; found, 8.9.

Table II

					СНОНС	H <sub>2</sub> NHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> NHCOR <sub>4</sub>					
no.	R	$ m R_4$	mp, °C	erystn solvent	yield, %	emp formula	anal.	meth- od of prepn	dose, mg/kg, giving 50% inhibn of tachycar- dia in rat	dose,  µg/kg,  giving  50%  inhibn of  tachycardia in cat	% inhibn of de- pressor re- sponse
31	4-Br	i-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	138-140	EtOAc	17	$C_{12}H_{21}BrN_2O_2$	C, H, N	В	1.6		
3 <b>2</b>	4-NO <sub>2</sub>	$i$ - $C_3$ $H_7$	129-131	EtOAc	11.5	$C_{14}^{1}H_{21}^{1}N_{3}O_{4}^{2}$	C, H, N	В	10.0	1372	0
33	4-OMe	$i$ - $C_3H_7$	112 - 113	EtOAc	21	$C_{15}^{17}H_{24}^{21}N_{2}^{3}O_{3}^{3}$	C, H, N	В	0.3	20	0
<b>34</b>	4-NH,	$i-C_3H_7$	141 - 142	MeCN	77	$C_{14}^{13}H_{23}^{24}N_{3}^{2}O_{2}^{3}$	C, H, N	D	0.6	79	69
35	4-NHSO, CH,	$i$ - $C_3^3H_7$	183-184	EtOH	60	$C_{15}^{17}H_{25}^{27}N_3O_4^2S\cdot HCl$	C, H, N	D	5.2		
3 <b>6</b>	2,4-Cl,	$i-C_3H_7$	194-19 <b>6</b>	MeCN	<b>2</b>	$C_{14}^{15}H_{20}^{25}Cl_2N_2O_2 \cdot HCl$	C, H, N	$\overline{\mathbf{D}}$	0.75		
37	4-CH,	$\mathbf{C_2}\mathbf{H_s}'$	106 - 107	EtOAc	19	$C_{14}^{14}H_{22}^{20}N_{2}O_{2}$	C, H, N	B	0.5		
38	2-Cl	$-\dot{C}H_{2}^{2}C_{6}H_{5}$	160-162	i-PrOH	3	$C_{18}^{14}H_{21}^{22}ClN_{2}O_{2}\cdot 0.5$ fumarate	C, H, N	D	0.6	182	45

dose,

R, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CHOHCH<sub>2</sub>NHC-CHNHCOR<sub>4</sub>  $R_2R_3$ 

											dose, mg/kg,	μg/kg, giving	%
no.	R,	R,	$\mathbf{R}_{3}$	$\mathrm{R}_{4}$	mp, °C	crystn solvent	yield, %	emp formula	anal.	meth- od of prepn	giving 50% inhibn of tach- ycardia in rat		inhibn of de- pressor re- sponse
39	CH,	H	H	CH, C, H,	160-161	EtOH	22	$C_{19}H_{24}N_2O_2 \cdot 0.5(COOH)_2$	C, H, N	A	0.1	7	36
40	CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	Н	$CH_2^2$ -2-Cl- $C_6H_4$	130-131	EtO Ac	$\overline{12}$	$C_{19}H_{23}CIN_2O_2$	C, H, N	A	0.03	17	19
41	$CH_3$	H	Н	c-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>11</sub>	174-175	i-PrOH/ EtOAc	4	$C_{18}H_{28}N_2O_2\cdot (COOH)_2\cdot 0.5H_2O$	$N,^a C, H$	Α	0.11	312	19
42	CH,	CH,	H	$CH_{s}C_{6}H_{5}$	159 - 160	EtOH	34	$C_{20}H_{26}\tilde{N}_2O_2\cdot 0.5$ fumarate	C, H, N	Α	0.1	80	<b>28</b>
43	$CH_3$	CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	<i>i</i> -C <sub>3</sub> H,	197-198	EtOH	14	$C_{16}^{20}H_{26}^{20}N_{2}^{2}O_{2}^{2}\cdot 0.5(COOH)_{2}\cdot 0.25H_{2}O$	C, H, N	Α	0.27	77	9
44	Н	Н	CH <sub>3</sub>	$CH_2C_6H_5$	136-137	EtOAc	21	$C_{19}H_{24}N_{2}^{2}O_{2}$	C, H, N	Ð	8.0		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> N: calcd, 6.95; found, 7.6.

Table IV

 				The state of the s	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CH	HOHCH, NHCH, CH, NHYR,					
no.	Y	$ m R_4$	mp, °C	crystn solvent	yield, %	emp formula	anal.	meth- od of prepn	dose, mg/kg, giving 50% inhibn of tachy- cardia in rat	dose, µg/kg, giving 50% inhibn of tachy- cardia in cat	inhibn of de- pressor response
 45	COO	$C_2H_5$	90-91	EtOAc-pet. ether	12	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	C, H, N	D	2.8		
46	CONH	$n-C_4H_9$	102 - 104	EtOAc	18	$C_{15}H_{25}N_3O_2$	C, H, N	Α	0.5	259	54
47	CONH	CH,CH=CH,	102-104	Et <sub>2</sub> O	5	$C_{14}H_{21}N_3O_2\cdot 0.25H_2O$	C, H, N	Α	0.26	138	0
48	CONH	$C_6H_5$	125 - 126	EtÕAc	17	$C_{17}^{17}H_{21}N_3O_2$	C, H, N	Α	2.9	378	0
49	$SO_2$	$n$ - $C_3$ H $_7$	$180 - 182^a$	EtOH	18	$C_1H_2N_3O_3S\cdot(COOH)_3\cdot0.25H_3O$	C, H, N	Α	0.5	63	0
<b>5</b> 0	$SO_{2}$	$C_6H_5$	$228-230^{a}$	EtOH	16	$C_{16}H_{20}N_{2}O_{3}S\cdot0.5(COOH)_{2}$	C, H, N	A	1.0	115	27
51	$SO_{2}$	$2-NO_{2}-C_{6}H_{4}$	208-209	EtOH-H <sub>2</sub> O	6	$C_{16}^{16}H_{19}N_{3}O_{5}S\cdot0.5(COOH)_{2}$	C, H, N	Α	2.5	373	23
<b>5</b> 2	so,	$CH_{2}C_{6}H_{5}$	100-102	EtOAc	8	$C_{17}H_{22}N_2O_3S$	C, H, N	$\mathbf{A}$	2.0	729	0
<b>5</b> 3 (so	talol)					• • • •				546	85
<b>54</b> (bu	itidrine)									1217	47
	onethalol)									1500	
<b>56</b> (pr	actolol)									167	8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Melts with decomposition.

$\mathbf{R}_{1}$	
NH <sub>2</sub> CCH	LNHYR.
R	

no.	$R_{i}$	R <sub>2</sub>	Y.	$R_4$	mp, °C	crystn solvent	yield, %	emp formula	anal.
57	Н	H	CO	CH,OCH,	137-138	EtOH	58	$C_5H_{12}N_2O_2\cdot(COOH)_2$	C, H, N
58	H	H	CO	c-C,H,	164 - 165	EtOH	49	$C_3H_{16}N_2O$ (COOH),	C, H, N
59	H	H	CO	$c-C_6H_{11}$	154 - 155	EtOH	35	$C_9H_{18}N_2O\cdot(COOH)_2$	C, H, N
<b>6</b> 0	H	H	CO	CH <sub>2</sub> -4-Cl·C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	194-196	EtOH	58	$C_{10}H_{13}ClN_2O\cdot HCl\cdot 0.25H_2O$	C, H, N
$61^{b}$	$CH_3$	H	CO	CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	220-221	EtOH-H,O	11	$C_{11}H_{16}N_2O\cdot 0.5(COOH)_2$	C, H, N
$62^b$	CH,	H	CO	CH <sub>2</sub> -4-Cl-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	174 - 176	EtOH-MeCN	33	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>15</sub> ClN <sub>2</sub> O·HCl	C, H, N
<b>6</b> 3	CH,	$CH_3$	CO	i-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	269-270	EtOH	39	C,H,N,O·HCl	C, H, N
64	$CH_3$	$CH_3$	CO	$CH_2C_6H_5$	268 - 270	EtOH	62	$C_{1}H_{18}N_{2}O\cdot HCl$	$H,^c$ C, N
65	H	Н	CONH	$n-C_4H_9$	138 - 139	EtOH	33	$C_7H_{17}N_3O\cdot(COOH)_2$	C, H, N
66	H	H	CONH	$CH_2CH=CH_2$	128 - 130	i-PrOH	19	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O·HCl·0.25H <sub>2</sub> O	$N^a$ C, H
67	Н	Н	SO <sub>2</sub>	<i>n</i> -C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	145 - 147	EtOH	19	$C_5H_{14}N_2O_2S\cdot(COOH)_2\cdot0.5H_2O$	C, H, N

<sup>a</sup> N: calcd, 22.8; found, 22.3. <sup>b</sup> NMR spectra of these compounds indicate the presence of 5-10% of the isomer NH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)NHCOR<sub>4</sub>. c H: calcd, 7.8; found, 7.3.

given. The relative potencies in these two systems give an indication of selectivity for  $\beta_1$  (cardiac) as opposed to  $\beta_2$  (vascular) receptors. Statistical analysis of the results shows that the mean ED<sub>50</sub> on the log scale for compounds with an average of two to three tests per compound is  $\pm 0.12$  log unit (i.e., a mean error of approximately 30%).

#### Discussion of Results

The object of this study was to observe the effect, on both  $\beta$ -blocking potency and cardioselectivity, of replacing the alkylamino moiety of an arylethanolamine with an amidoalkylamino moiety. The test results in Tables I-IV give the ED<sub>50</sub> values (mg/kg) in the rat and the ED<sub>50</sub> values (μg/kg) and percentage inhibition of the depressor response in the cat. In general, the compounds show a similar order of potency in the two screens. Only those compounds with significant activity in the rat were examined in the cat, and the cat results have been used to define the structure-activity relationships.

For comparison purposes, the arylethanolamines sotalol, butidrine, pronethalol, and the  $\beta_1$  cardioselective aryloxypropanolamine practolol have been included at the end of Table IV.

The biological data in Tables I–IV show that, in general, the pharmacological profile of compounds in this series differs markedly from the ethanolamines 53-55, used for comparison, and appears to be more like the aryloxypropanolamine practolol 56.

Thus, compounds 7, 10, 14, 18, 20, 33, 43, and 49 can be seen to be very potent inhibitors of the isoprenaline tachycardia response while having minimal effects on the depressor response. This was a surprising finding because it is exceptional for  $\beta_1$  selectivity to be so widely exhibited by a series of arylethanolamines.<sup>9</sup> Indeed, apart from the work by Williams et al. 10 on a series of o-methoxyarylethanolamines, of which one example was  $\beta_1$  selective on isolated guinea pig tissues, this appears to be the only report of a series containing several potent and cardioselective arylethanolamines. Approximately half the compounds in Tables I-III and all but one of the amidic variations in Table IV show cardioselectivity, which is apparently not limited by ring or side-chain substitution as in compounds 32, 33 and 41, 43. This would appear to indicate that the amidoalkylamino moiety is playing a major role in the cardioselectivity observed in this series. This finding is in accordance with our earlier work, 1,2 where we demonstrated that an oxygen or sulfur atom, in an analogous position on the amine side chain, also appeared to be responsible for the  $\beta_1$  selectivity found in a series of aryloxypropanolamines.

The potency of the compounds in this series was also surprising. Apart from compounds 16, 17, 32, 44, and 52, the majority of the compounds tested were more potent than sotalol, which is the most potent of the clinically available arylethanolamines, and were, in fact, more like aryloxypropanolamines in potency. We could, however, find little correlation between the substituents on the amide group in Table I and potency, apart from the conclusion that an aryl ring, next to the carbonyl moiety of an amide group, lowers potency (cf. 16 and 17).

Although potency is influenced by the aryl substituent R, no correlation was found in the small number of compounds examined.

The results in Table III show that branching of the side chain also affects potency.

Thus, a methyl group on the carbon atom adjacent to the amino group can increase potency (cf. 18 and 39), the effects of gem-dimethyl groups are ambiguous (cf. 18, 42 and 8, 43) and a methyl group adjacent to the amide moiety decreased potency (cf. 44).

The effect on potency of varying the amidic group is shown in Table IV. The benzamido compound 16 was of low activity, but the phenylureido and phenylsulfonamido compounds 48 and 50 both showed good activity and selectivity in the cat. Similarly, the n-propylsulfonamido analogue 49 was more active than the n-propionamido analogue 7. However the benzylsulfonamido analogue 52 was less active than the phenylacetamido analogue 18.

In summary, our study shows that the introduction of an amidic moiety into the alkylamine side chain of an arylethanolamine gives potent cardioselective  $\beta$ -adrenoceptor blocking agents, and we shall duly report our findings with the aryloxypropanolamines.

## **Experimental Section**

Chemistry. All melting points were obtained using an Electrothermal capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Where analyses are indicated only by symbols of the elements, analytical results obtained for those elements were within  $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values. NMR spectra for all the compounds described were recorded either on a Varian HA100D or a Varian A60 using tetramethylsilane as the internal standard and were consistent with the assigned structures.

<sup>(9)</sup> J. H. Biel and B. K. B. Lum, Prog. Drug Res., 10, 57, (1966). L. R. Williams, B. V. Lap, and C. H. Lim, J. Med. Chem., 21, 1081 (1978).

2-[(2-Acetamidoethyl)amino]-1-phenylethanol (5). Method A. A solution of styrene oxide (1.2 g, 0.01 mol), N-(2-aminoethyl)acetamide (1.02 g, 0.01 mol), and i-PrOH (50 mL) was heated under reflux for 5 h. The solution was then evaporated to dryness and the residue was crystallized from EtOAc: yield 0.7 g (31.5%); mp 106–108 °C.

2-[(2-Isobutyramidoethyl)amino]-1-(4-bromophenyl)ethanol (31). Method B. 4-Bromophenacyl bromide (2.8 g, 0.01 mol) was added to a stirred solution of N-(2-aminoethyl)isobutyramide (3.9 g, 0.03 mol) in MeOH (50 mL) at 10 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 0.3 h and then treated with 48% HBr (1.88 mL).

The mixture was again cooled to 10 °C, NaBH<sub>4</sub> (0.48 g, 0.02 mol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 1.5 h, then acidified with concentrated HCl, and evaporated to low bulk under reduced pressure. Water (150 mL) was added, and the mixture was washed with EtOAc, basified with 10 N NaOH, and extracted with EtOAc (3  $\times$  75 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure, and the residue was crystallized from EtOAc: yield 0.55 g (17%); mp 138–140 °C.

2-[[2-[(4-Cyanophenoxy)acetamido]ethyl]amino]-1-phenylethanol (26). Method C. An intimate mixture of ethyl 4-cyanophenoxyacetate (2.05 g, 0.01 mol) and 2-[(2-aminoethyl)amino]-1-phenylethanol (1.8 g, 0.01 mol) was heated at 120 °C for 1 h, cooled, and crystallized from EtOH-H<sub>2</sub>O: yield 2.8 g (78%); mp 62-63 °C.

2-[N-(2-Aminoethyl)-N-benzylamino]-1-phenylethanol Bis(hydrogen oxalate). A mixture of N-[2-(benzylamino)-ethyl]isobutyramide hydrochloride (12.8 g, 0.05 mol), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (4.2 g, 0.05 mol), styrene oxide (6 g, 0.05 mol), and i-PrOH (50 mL) was refluxed for 18 h and then evaporated to dryness.

A solution of the residue in 5 N HCl (200 mL) was refluxed for 4 h, then cooled, and washed with  $\rm Et_2O$  (100 mL). The aqueous phase was basified with NaOH (18 N) and extracted with chloroform (3 × 150 mL), and the combined chloroform extracts were dried and evaporated to an oil: yield 11.5 g (85%); characterized as the bis(hydrogen oxalate), mp 178–180 °C (EtOH). Anal.  $\rm [C_{17}H_{22}ON_2\cdot 2(COOH)_2]$  C, H, N.

2-[(2-Isovaleramidoethyl)amino]-1-phenylethanol Oxalate (9). A mixture of 2-[N-(2-aminoethyl)-N-benzylamino]-1-phenylethanol (2.7 g, 0.01 mol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.5 mL), isovaleric anhydride (1.86 g, 0.01 mol), and toluene (30 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then evaporated to dryness. A solution of the residue in HOAc (30 mL) was hydrogenated over 30% Pd/C at room temperature and atmospheric pressure until 230 mL of hydrogen had been absorbed. The mixture was filtered, the filtrate was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was stirred with 1 N NaOH and EtOAc. The EtOAc phase was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and added to a solution of oxalic acid in EtOAc, and the precipitated oxalate was collected and crystallized from MeCN: yield 0.9 g (29%); mp 163-165 °C.

2-[(2-Butyramidoethyl)amino]-1-phenylethanol (7) was prepared in a similar manner and crystallized from EtOAc: yield 11%; mp 90-91 °C.

2-[[2-[4-(Benzyloxy)benzamido]ethyl]amino]-1-phenylethanol. A solution of N-(2-aminoethyl)-4-(benzoyloxy)benzamide (2.7 g, 0.01 mol) and styrene oxide (1.2 g, 0.01 mol) in i-PrOH (50 mL) was heated under reflux for 18 h. The solution was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was crystallized from EtOAc: yield 1.2 g (31%); mp 152–153 °C. Anal. ( $C_{24}H_{26}N_2O_3$ ) C, H, N.

2-[[2-(4-Hydroxybenzamido)ethyl]amino]-1-phenylethanol Hydrogen Oxalate (17). A solution of 2-[[2-[4-(benzyloxylbenzamido]ethyl]amino]-1-phenylethanol (1.65 g, 0.0042 mol) in HOAc (50 mL) was hydrogenated over 5% Pd/C at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate evaporated to dryness. A solution of the residue in EtOH was added to a solution of oxalic acid in EtOAc, and the precipitated oxalate was collected and crystallized from EtOH: yield 1.3 g (79%); mp 186 °C.

2-[[2-[[4-(α-Hydroxyethyl)phenoxy]acetamido]ethyl]-amino]-1-phenylethanol Monohydrate (28). NaBH<sub>4</sub> (0.38 g, 0.01 mol) was added in portions to a stirred solution of 2-[[2-[(4-acetylphenoxy)acetamido]ethyl]amino]-1-phenylethanol (23; 1.1 g, 0.003 mol) in MeOH (30 mL), and the mixture was stirred

at room temperature for 1 h and then acidified to pH 4 with HOAc and evaporated to dryness. A solution of the residue in water (50 mL) was basified with 2 N NaOH, and the precipitated solid was collected and crystallized from  $H_2O$ : yield 0.8 g (71%); mp 90–91 °C.

2-[N-Benzyl-N-(2-isobutyramidoethyl)amino]-I-[4-(methanesulfonylamido)phenyl]ethanol. A mixture of 4-(methanesulfonylamino)phenacyl bromide (2.92 g, 0.01 mol), N-[2-(N-benzylamino)ethyl]isobutyramide (4.4 g, 0.02 mol), and dioxane (50 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. Water (50 mL) was added to the residue, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (2  $\times$  50 mL), and the combined EtOAc extracts were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated to dryness.

NaBH<sub>4</sub> (1.14 g, 0.03 mol) was added in portions over 0.5 h to a stirred solution of the residue in EtOH (30 mL), and then the mixture was diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O (300 mL), neutralized with 10% NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, and extracted with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL). The combined EtOAc extracts were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated to dryness, and the residue was crystallized from EtOAc: yield 3.3 g (76%); mp 112–113 °C. Anal. ( $C_{22}H_{31}N_3O_4S$ ) C, H, N.

2-[(2-Isobutyramidoethyl)amino]-1-[4-(methane-sulfonylamido)phenyl]ethanol Hydrochloride (35). A solution of 2-[N-benzyl-N-(2-isobutyramidoethyl)amino]-1-[4-(methanesulfonylamido)phenyl]ethanol (1.73 g, 0.04 mol) in HOAc (30 mL) was hydrogenated at room temperature and atmospheric pressure over 30% Pd/C until uptake ceased. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc, the solution was acidified with etheral HCl, and the precipitated hydrochloride was collected and recrystallized from ethanol: yield 0.9 g (60%); mp 183–184 °C.

2-[N-Benzyl-N-(2-isobutyramidoethyl)amino]-1-(4-nitrophenyl)ethanol. This compound was prepared in a similar manner to that used for the 4-(methanesulfonylamido) analogue used in the preparation of compound 35 and was crystallized from a mixture of EtOAc and cyclohexane: yield 45%; mp 117-118  $^{\circ}$ C. Anal. ( $C_{21}H_{27}N_3O_4$ ) C, H, N.

1-(4-Aminophenyl)-2-[(2-isobutyramidoethyl)amino]-ethanol (34). A solution of hydrazine hydrate (2.7 g, 0.054 mol) in ethanol (20 mL) was added dropwise over 0.5 h to a stirred, refluxing mixture of 2-[N-benzyl-N-(2-isobutyramidoethyl)-amino]-1-(4-nitrophenyl)ethanol (7.0 g, 0.018 mol), Raney nickel (2 g), and ethanol (100 mL), then the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness: yield 6.8 g.

A solution containing 0.8 g of the above residue in ethanol (30 mL) was hydrogenated over 30% Pd/C at room temperature and atmospheric pressure until uptake ceased. The mixture was filtered, the filtrate was evaporated to dryness and the residue was crystallized from MeCN: yield 0.43 g (77%); mp 141-142 °C

1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-2-[[2-(phenylacetamido)ethyl]-amino]ethanol Fumarate (38). A solution of 2-bromo-1-(2-chlorophenyl)ethanol (4.6 g, 0.02 mol) and N-(2-aminoethyl)-phenylacetamide (3.68 g, 0.02 mol) in ethanol (50 mL) was kept at 40 °C for 3 days and then evaporated to dryness. HBr, 4 N (200 mL), was added to the residue, and the mixture was washed with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL). The aqueous phase was basified to pH 12 with 10 N NaOH and extracted with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL), and the combined EtOAc extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated to dryness. A solution of the residue in MeOH (50 mL) was added to a solution of fumaric acid (2.3 g, 0.02 mol) in MeOH (50 mL), the mixture was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was triturated with MeCN and filtered. The residue was crystallized from i-PrOH: yield 0.2 g (3%); mp 160–162 °C.

1-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-2-[(2-isobutyramidoethyl)-amino]ethanol Hydrochloride (36) was prepared in a similar manner: yield 2%; mp 194-196 °C (MeCN).

2-[[2-(Ethoxycarbonamido)ethyl]amino]-1-phenylethanol (45). A stirred mixture of 2-[(2-aminoethyl)amino]-1-phenylethanol (1.8 g, 0.01 mol),  $K_2CO_3$  (1.38 g, 0.01 mol), and EtOH (40 mL) was treated with ethyl chloroformate (1.09 g, 0.01 mol) and then stirred and refluxed for 30 min and evaporated to dryness. HCl, 1 N (30 mL), was added to the residue, the mixture was washed with EtOAc (20 mL), and the aqueous phase was neutralized with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and extracted with EtOAc (3 × 20 mL). The combined EtOAc extracts were evaporated to dryness, and

the residue was crystallized from EtOAc/petroleum ether (bp 60-80 °C): yield 0.3 g (12%); mp 90-91 °C.

2-[N-(2-Aminopropyl)-N-benzylamino]-1-phenylethanol Hydrochloride. A mixture of 2-(benzylamino)-1-phenylethanol (11.35 g, 0.05 mol), MeCN (100 mL), KI (0.1 g), and chloroacetone (2.32 g, 0.025 mol) was heated under reflux for 1.5 h, then cooled, and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness.

A mixture of the residue, hydroxylamine hydrochloride (3.0 g, 0.05 mol),  $K_2CO_3$  (7.9 g, 0.05 mol),  $H_2O$  (10 mL), and EtOH (50 mL) was refluxed for 2 h and then evaporated to near dryness. Water (100 mL) was added, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL), and the combined EtOAc extracts were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated to dryness. A 70%, w/v, solution of sodium bis(2-methoxyethoxy)aluminum hydride ("Red-Al"; 29.8 mL, 0.1 mol) in benzene was added over 0.5 h to a stirred solution of the residue in toluene (100 mL), and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 18 h.

The stirred mixture was acidified with 2 N HCl, the aqueous phase was separated, and the toluene phase was extracted again with 2 N HCl (100 mL). The combined aqueous acid extracts were basified with 10 N NaOH and extracted with CHCl $_3$  (3 × 100 mL), and the combined chloroform extracts were dried (MgSO $_4$ ) and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in Et $_2$ O, ethereal HCl was added, the Et $_2$ O was decanted, and the residual gum was crystallized from 5% MeOH/acetonitrile: yield 0.44 g (5%); mp 197–198 °C. Anal. (C $_{18}$ H $_{24}$ ON $_2$ ) C, H, N.

The mother liquors were evaporated to dryness, and the residue was stirred with water (50 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was

basified with 10 N NaOH and extracted with  $\rm Et_2O$ , and the extracts were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated to give crude base (1.7 g, 24%), which was used without further purification to prepare compound 44.

2-[[2-(Phenylacetamido)propyl]amino]-1-phenylethanol (44). Phenylacetyl chloride (0.98 g, 0.0063 mol) was added to a stirred solution of 2-[N-(2-aminopropyl)-N-benzylamino]-1-phenylethanol (1.7 g, 0.006 mol) and triethylamine (1 mL) in toluene (50 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 0.5 h and then washed successively with 1 N NaOH (20 mL) and water (20 mL). The toluene phase was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated to dryness.

A solution of the residue in EtOH was hydrogenated over 30% Pd/C at room temperature and atmospheric pressure and then filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The residue was crystallized from EtOAc: yield 0.4 g (21%); mp 136-137 °C.

N-(2-Aminoethyl)cyclopentanecarboxamide (58). A mixture of methyl cyclopentanecarboxylate and (12.8 g, 0.1 mol) ethylenediamine (24 g, 0.4 mol) was heated at 100 °C for 18 h, cooled, and then poured into water (100 mL). The resulting suspension was filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. A solution of the residue in EtOAc was added to a solution of oxalic acid in EtOAc, and the precipitated oxalate was collected and crystallized from EtOH: yield 12 g (49%); mp 164-165 °C.

Acknowledgment. The authors thank C. Topps and M. J. A. Johnson for their expert technical assistance, Dr. J. D. Fitzgerald and J. Carter for providing the biological data, and C. J. Howarth for providing analytical data.

# Cerebrovasodilatation through Selective Inhibition of the Enzyme Carbonic Anhydrase. 2. Imidazo[2,1-b]thiadiazole and Imidazo[2,1-b]thiazolesulfonamides

Ian T. Barnish, Peter E. Cross,\* Roger P. Dickinson, Brian Gadsby, Michael J. Parry, Michael J. Randall, and Ian W. Sinclair

Pfizer Central Research, Pfizer Ltd., Sandwich, Kent, United Kingdom. Received July 2, 1979

A series of imidazo[2,1-b]thiadiazole and imidazo[2,1-b]thiazolesulfonamide carbonic anhydrase inhibitors is described and their anticonvulsant activities are listed. Many of the compounds have the same degree of ionization as acetazolamide and methazolamide, but their higher lipophilicity means that they are more able to penetrate into the central nervous system. One compound, 6-tert-butyl-2-sulfamoylimidazo[2,1-b]-1,3,4-thiadiazole (8, UK-15 454) had an anticonvulsant ED $_{50}$  of 2.6 mg/kg when administered orally to mice. 8 selectively increased cerebral blood flow in animals without producing a high level of metabolic acidosis.

Because of the increase in the number of elderly people, cerebrovascular disease is now becoming a major medical and social problem; there is, therefore, an urgent need to discover an effective therapy that will improve the function of the aging brain. It is known that the degree and extent of the neurological deficit correlate with decreases in both cerebral blood flow and oxygen utilization, 1-3 but it is not known whether either of these constitutes the primary defect. Current therapy is mainly confined to vasodilator drugs, 4 even though these are usually unselective in their vasodilator action and frequently lower blood pressure.

In the previous publication,<sup>5</sup> we described how 4-[(4-methoxypiperidino)sulfonyl]-2-chlorobenzenesulfonamide (UK-12130) caused a selective increase in cerebral blood

flow in man and animals by raising CO<sub>2</sub> levels locally through inhibition of brain and/or erythrocyte carbonic anhydrase. By this means, autoregulation<sup>6,7</sup> was surmounted and, since blood pressure was not decreased, CO<sub>2</sub> achieved a dilation limited only by the responsiveness of the cerebral vasculature.

The carbonic anhydrase inhibitor acetazolamide is also known to increase cerebral blood flow in patients with cerebrovascular disease.<sup>8-10</sup> However, acetazolamide is a potent inhibitor of carbonic anhydrase in the kidney, and the increase in cerebral blood flow is always accompanied by a marked diuresis<sup>11</sup> which eventually leads to a metabolic acidosis.

The related carbonic anhydrase inhibitor methazolamide is able to penetrate erythrocytes very readily and to enter

D. Simard, J. Oleson, O. B. Paulson, N. A. Lassen, and E. Skinhoj, *Brain*, 94, 273 (1971).

<sup>(2)</sup> C. P. Larson, W. K. Ehrenfel, J. G. Wade, and E. J. Wylie, Surgery, 62, 31 (1967).

<sup>(3)</sup> S. A. Hegedus and R. T. Shackleford, J. Am. Med. Assoc., 191, 279 (1965).

<sup>(4)</sup> Br. Med. J., 3, 38 (1969).

<sup>(5)</sup> P. E. Cross, B. Gadsby, G. F. Holland, and W. M. McLamore, J. Med. Chem., 21, 845 (1978).

<sup>(6)</sup> N. A. Lassen, Circ. Res., Suppl., 15, 201 (1964).

<sup>(7)</sup> A. M. Harper, Acta Neurol. Scand., Suppl., 14, 94 (1965).

<sup>(8)</sup> D. L. Ehrenreich, R. A. Burns, R. W. Alman, and J. E. Fazekas, Arch. Neurol., 5, 227 (1961).

<sup>(9)</sup> F. Gotoh, J. S. Meyer, and M. Tomita, Arch. Int. Med., 117, 39 (1966).

<sup>(10)</sup> J. B. Posner and F. Plum, J. Clin. Invest., 39, 1246 (1960).

<sup>(11)</sup> T. H. Maren, Physiol. Rev., 47, 595 (1967).